

The Case For Impeachment English Edition PDF

The case for impeachment english edition

Impeachment remains one of the most significant mechanisms within a democratic system to hold leaders accountable. As a constitutional process, it serves to address allegations of misconduct, abuse of power, or violation of public trust by high-ranking officials, including the President or other federal officers. The case for impeachment English edition explores the fundamental principles, historical context, legal grounds, and moral imperatives that justify initiating such proceedings. Understanding this process is crucial for citizens committed to safeguarding the integrity of their government and ensuring accountability at the highest levels.

Understanding Impeachment: Definition and Purpose

What Is Impeachment?

Impeachment is a formal process used to charge, try, and remove government officials from office for misconduct. It is not a criminal conviction but a political process designed to determine whether an official's actions warrant removal from their position.

Purpose of Impeachment

- Accountability: Ensures that officials are held responsible for unlawful or unethical conduct.
- Protection of Democracy: Prevents abuse of power that could undermine democratic institutions.
- Preservation of Public Trust: Maintains confidence in government by addressing misconduct transparently.
- Legal and Ethical Standards: Upholds the rule of law and ethical conduct among public officials.

The Constitutional Framework for Impeachment

Legal Basis in the United States

The U.S. Constitution provides the foundation for impeachment procedures:

- **Article II, Section 4:** "The President, Vice President, and all civil officers of the United States shall be removed from office on impeachment for, and conviction of, treason, bribery, or other high crimes and misdemeanors."

- **Article I, Sections 2 and 3:** Grants the House of Representatives the sole power to impeach and the Senate the sole power to conduct the trial.

Key Terms in the Constitution

- **High crimes and misdemeanors:** A broad term encompassing serious misconduct, abuse of power, or violation of public trust.

- **Impeachment process:** Initiation in the House, trial in the Senate, and possible removal from office.

Historical Precedents and Significance

Notable Impeachment Cases

Understanding historical cases provides context for current debates:

- **Andrew Johnson (1868):** Impeached for political disagreements and perceived abuse of power; acquitted by one vote.

- **Bill Clinton (1998):** Impeached on charges of perjury and obstruction of justice; acquitted by the Senate.

- **Donald Trump (2019 and 2021):** Impeached twice for abuse of power, obstruction of Congress, and incitement of insurrection; acquitted both times.

Lessons from History

- Impeachment is a political process, not purely legal.
- Partisan considerations often influence proceedings.
- The threshold for removal is high, requiring bipartisan support.

Legal Grounds for Impeachment

High Crimes and Misdemeanors

The phrase "high crimes and misdemeanors" is flexible, encompassing a range of misconduct such as:

- **Abuse of Power:** Using official authority for personal or political gain.
- **Corruption and Bribery:** Accepting or soliciting bribes or engaging in corrupt practices.
- **Obstruction of Justice:** Interfering with investigations or legal processes.
- **Neglect of Duty:** Failing to uphold constitutional responsibilities.
- **Violations of the Oath of Office:** Breaching responsibilities mandated by the Constitution.

Proving Impeachable Offenses

- Evidence of misconduct should be clear and compelling.
- Allegations must be substantiated through investigations, hearings, and testimonies.
- The process involves both political judgment and legal standards.

The Impeachment Process in Practice

Step 1: Investigation

- Initiated by the House of Representatives.
- May involve committees such as the Judiciary Committee.
- Collects evidence and conducts hearings.

Step 2: Articles of Impeachment

- Drafted by the House Judiciary Committee.
- Formal charges are presented to the full House for debate and vote.

Step 3: House Vote

- Requires a simple majority to approve articles of impeachment.
- If passed, the process moves to the Senate.

Step 4: Senate Trial

- Conducted as a court proceeding.
- Senators act as jurors; the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court presides over presidential impeachment trials.
- House managers act as prosecutors.

Step 5: Senate Vote

- A two-thirds majority is needed to convict and remove the official.
- If convicted, the official is removed from office and may face disqualification from holding future office.

The Moral and Political Imperatives for Impeachment

Defending Democracy

- Impeachment acts as a safeguard against tyranny and corruption.
- It reinforces the principle that no one is above the law.

Ensuring Ethical Governance

- Promotes accountability among public officials.
- Upholds integrity and transparency in leadership.

Maintaining Public Confidence

- Demonstrates that abuses of power will be addressed.
- Reinforces citizens' trust in democratic institutions.

The Challenges and Criticisms of Impeachment

Partisan Politics

- Impeachment proceedings can be influenced heavily by partisan interests.
- Risk of politicization undermining the legitimacy of the process.

High Threshold for Removal

- The two-thirds Senate vote makes conviction difficult.
- May lead to situations where misconduct is proven but officials remain in office.

Potential for Political Instability

- Impeachment debates can polarize society.
- Risk of undermining national unity if not handled carefully.

Conclusion: Why the Case for Impeachment Matters

The case for impeachment English edition underscores the vital role this constitutional mechanism plays in maintaining the checks and balances essential to a functioning democracy. While the process is complex and often contentious, its purpose is clear: to protect the nation from leaders who abuse their authority and to uphold the rule of law. Impeachment is not merely a political tool but a moral imperative to ensure that those in power remain accountable to the people they serve. Citizens, lawmakers, and leaders alike must understand the importance of this process as a safeguard for justice, integrity, and the enduring health of democratic institutions.

Keywords: impeachment, high crimes and misdemeanors, constitutional process, accountability, government misconduct, political accountability, impeachment proceedings, historical cases of impeachment, legal grounds for impeachment, democratic accountability

The Case for Impeachment: An In-Depth Examination of Constitutional Grounds and Political Implications

The concept of impeachment has long stood as a fundamental mechanism within democratic systems, serving as a check on executive power and a safeguard for the rule of law. In recent years, the discourse surrounding impeachment has intensified, often reflecting broader political tensions and societal divisions. This article aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the case for impeachment, exploring its constitutional foundations, historical precedents, political considerations, and the ongoing debates that shape its application in contemporary governance.

Understanding Impeachment: Constitutional Foundations and Legal Principles

Impeachment is a constitutional process designed to remove high-ranking officials, including the President, Vice President, and other federal officials, from office for misconduct. Unlike criminal prosecution, impeachment is fundamentally a political process, though it is grounded in legal and constitutional principles.

Historical Origins and Constitutional Text

The concept of impeachment has roots in English law, where it historically referred to charges

brought against officials by Parliament. The framers of the U.S. Constitution adapted this practice to establish a formal process for holding government officials accountable.

The U.S. Constitution articulates the process in Article II, Section 4, and Article I, Sections 2 and 3:

- Article II, Section 4: "The President, Vice President, and all civil Officers of the United States shall be removed from Office on Impeachment for, and Conviction of, Treason, Bribery, or other High Crimes and Misdemeanors."

- Article I, Sections 2 and 3: Define the impeachment process? House of Representatives has the sole power to impeach by majority vote, and the Senate has the sole power to conduct the trial and ultimately remove the official with a two-thirds majority.

Legal Grounds for Impeachment

The phrase "High Crimes and Misdemeanors" remains deliberately broad, providing Congress with discretion to interpret misconduct. Historically, this has encompassed:

- Abuse of power
- Obstruction of justice
- Corruption
- Betrayal of constitutional duties
- Violations of oath of office

The process is inherently political but rooted in legal standards, requiring careful analysis of alleged misconduct against constitutional criteria.

Historical Precedents: Lessons from the Past

Examining previous impeachment cases offers valuable insights into the constitutional and political dynamics involved.

Andrew Johnson (1868)

Johnson's impeachment stemmed from conflicts with Congress over Reconstruction policies following the Civil War. The House impeached him primarily for violating the Tenure of Office Act by removing his Secretary of War without Senate approval. The Senate acquitted Johnson by a single vote, highlighting the political nature of the process.

Bill Clinton (1998)

Clinton was impeached on charges of perjury and obstruction of justice related to his extramarital affair. The Senate acquitted him, emphasizing that impeachment and removal are separate steps, and high political thresholds must be met.

Donald Trump (2019 and 2021)

Trump faced two impeachment proceedings—first for abuse of power and obstruction of Congress, and second for incitement of insurrection. In both cases, the Senate did not reach the two-thirds majority required for removal, underscoring the political complexities involved.

The Political Dimensions of Impeachment

While rooted in constitutional law, impeachment is inherently political. It involves balancing legal standards with political realities, party interests, public opinion, and institutional consequences.

Partisan Dynamics and Their Impact

Impeachment proceedings often reflect partisan divides, which can influence:

- The initiation of impeachment inquiries
- The framing of charges
- Voting outcomes in Congress
- Public perception and support

Partisanship can both motivate and hinder the pursuit of impeachment, raising questions about the objectivity and fairness of the process.

Public Opinion and Political Legitimacy

The success and legitimacy of impeachment depend heavily on public support. Leaders often weigh the political costs against the perceived necessity of accountability. Opinion polls can sway congressional decisions, and presidents facing imminent impeachment may seek to influence public sentiment.

Legal and Ethical Considerations

Beyond political factors, legal and ethical considerations are central to the case for impeachment.

Accountability and the Rule of Law

Impeachment serves as a mechanism to uphold accountability for misconduct, especially when other avenues such as criminal prosecution are unavailable or insufficient.

- Ensures that no one is above the law
- Reinforces the constitutional balance of powers
- Protects democratic institutions from abuse

Limits and Challenges

Despite its importance, impeachment faces several challenges:

- Defining the scope of "High Crimes and Misdemeanors"
- Determining whether misconduct is impeachable
- Overcoming partisan resistance
- Ensuring fairness and avoiding political vendettas

Contemporary Debates and Implications

The debate over whether to pursue impeachment often hinges on complex legal interpretations, political calculations, and societal values.

Impeachment as a Political Tool

Critics argue that impeachment can be misused for political gain or as a means to settle partisan scores. Supporters contend it is essential for safeguarding democracy and ensuring leaders are held accountable.

Impacts on Governance and Stability

Impeachment proceedings can:

- Disrupt legislative agendas
- Erode public trust
- Polarize society further
- Potentially lead to constitutional crises if mismanaged

Conversely, they can also serve as a necessary correction when executive misconduct threatens democratic principles.

Conclusion: The Imperative and Limitations of Impeachment

The case for impeachment rests on the twin pillars of constitutional obligation and democratic accountability. While it is a powerful tool to address misconduct at the highest levels of government, its application must be judicious, grounded in legal standards, and mindful of political realities.

Impeachment is neither a routine political process nor a tool for personal vendettas but a constitutional safeguard designed to preserve the integrity of the republic. Its effectiveness depends on the willingness of Congress to uphold its constitutional duties, the integrity of the process, and the engagement of the public.

As democracies evolve, so too must the understanding and application of impeachment, striking a balance between holding leaders accountable and maintaining political stability. Ultimately, the case for impeachment underscores the enduring importance of accountability, transparency, and the rule of law in safeguarding democratic institutions against abuse and corruption.

In essence, the case for impeachment is both a legal necessity and a political challenge. It embodies the fundamental principle that no individual, regardless of office, is above accountability. As history demonstrates, its proper use serves to uphold the constitutional order and reinforce the foundational ideals of democracy.

Question What are the main arguments presented in 'The Case for Impeachment' regarding presidential accountability? The book emphasizes that impeachment is a necessary mechanism to hold presidents accountable for misconduct, highlighting historical precedents and the importance of safeguarding democratic institutions. **Answer** How does 'The Case for Impeachment' address the political polarization surrounding impeachment proceedings? It discusses how polarization can hinder objective assessments but argues that impeachment should be based on constitutional grounds rather than partisan interests, promoting the rule of law. What historical examples does 'The Case for Impeachment' analyze to support its arguments? The book examines cases like President Andrew Johnson, Bill Clinton, and Donald Trump to illustrate the principles, challenges, and implications of impeachment in different contexts. How does the book explore the legal and constitutional frameworks for impeachment? It provides a detailed analysis of the U.S. Constitution, relevant laws, and the processes involved in impeaching a president, emphasizing the importance of adherence to constitutional procedures. What is the book's stance on the impact of impeachment on democratic institutions? The book argues that impeachment, when justified and properly conducted, strengthens democratic institutions by ensuring accountability and maintaining the rule of law. Why is 'The Case for Impeachment' considered relevant in today's political climate? Because it addresses ongoing concerns about presidential misconduct, emphasizes the importance of

constitutional checks and balances, and encourages informed civic engagement in safeguarding democracy.

Related keywords: impeachment, political accountability, constitutional law, government misconduct, political process, legal proceedings, political crisis, judicial review, accountability mechanisms, political ethics

to end a presidency the power of impeachment

To end a presidency, the power of impeachment stands as a fundamental constitutional mechanism in the United States, designed to hold the highest elected officials accountable and preserve the integrity of the democratic process. This process, rooted in the U.S. Constitution, provides a constitutional pathway for Congress to remove a sitting president or other federal officials from office if they are found guilty of "high crimes and misdemeanors." Understanding how impeachment functions, its historical applications, and its implications is crucial for grasping its role in American governance.

What is Impeachment?

Impeachment is a formal process by which a legislative body, such as the United States Congress, charges a government official, including the president, with misconduct. It does not automatically remove the official from office; rather, it is akin to an indictment in criminal law—an initial step that initiates a trial to determine guilt.

Constitutional Basis of Impeachment

The authority for impeachment is explicitly granted in the U.S. Constitution, primarily in Article II, Section 4, and Article I, Sections 2 and 3. These provisions stipulate that:

- The House of Representatives has the sole power to impeach (formally accuse).
- The Senate has the sole power to conduct the impeachment trial and ultimately decide whether to remove the official from office.

High Crimes and Misdemeanors

While the Constitution does not define "high crimes and misdemeanors" explicitly, this phrase has been interpreted broadly to include serious abuses of power, corruption, treason, bribery, or other

significant misconduct.

The Impeachment Process

The process of impeaching a president involves multiple steps, beginning with investigation and culminating in a Senate trial. Each stage is critical in ensuring fairness and adherence to constitutional principles.

Step 1: Initiation in the House of Representatives

The process begins with the introduction of articles of impeachment, which are formal charges against the official. These can be initiated by any member of the House but usually stem from investigations by committees such as the House Judiciary Committee.

- Investigation: The House may hold hearings, gather evidence, and interview witnesses.
- Drafting Articles: Based on findings, articles of impeachment are drafted detailing the misconduct.
- Vote on Articles: The full House votes on each article; a simple majority is required for impeachment.

Step 2: Senate Trial

Once the House votes to impeach, the process moves to the Senate, which conducts a trial to determine whether the official should be removed from office.

- Senate as Jury: Senators serve as jurors, and the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court presides over presidential impeachment trials.
- Presentation of Evidence: House managers act as prosecutors, presenting evidence and arguments.
- Defense: The accused official can present a defense and call witnesses.
- Verdict: After the trial, Senators vote on whether to convict and remove the official. A two-thirds majority is required for conviction.

Historical Examples of Presidential Impeachment

Throughout U.S. history, impeachment has been a significant constitutional tool, though it has rarely resulted in removal from office.

Andrew Johnson (1868)

- Background: Johnson faced impeachment primarily over his approach to Reconstruction and opposition to Radical Republicans.
- Articles of Impeachment: Included violations of the Tenure of Office Act.
- Outcome: The Senate acquitted him by one vote, and he remained in office.

Bill Clinton (1998)

- Charges: Perjury and obstruction of justice related to his extramarital affair.
- Impeachment: Passed by the House but fell short in the Senate.
- Outcome: Clinton was acquitted and completed his term.

Donald Trump (2019 and 2021)

- First Impeachment (2019): Charges of abuse of power and obstruction of Congress related to Ukraine.
- Second Impeachment (2021): Incitement of insurrection following the Capitol riot.
- Outcome: Both times, the Senate acquitted Trump.

Can Impeachment End a Presidency?

Yes. Although impeachment itself does not automatically remove a president from office, it sets the stage for potential removal if the Senate convicts. The constitutional process is designed to be rigorous, requiring a significant legislative consensus to succeed.

Implications of Impeachment

- Removal from Office: Conviction in the Senate results in immediate removal, and the official may be barred from holding future office.
- Political Consequences: Even if not convicted, impeachment can tarnish a president's legacy and influence future political prospects.
- Legal and Moral Accountability: Impeachment underscores the accountability of elected officials to constitutional standards.

Limitations and Challenges of Impeachment

While impeachment is a powerful tool, it faces several limitations and challenges:

- **Partisan Politics:** Impeachment proceedings often become highly partisan, influencing the likelihood of conviction.
- **High Bar for Conviction:** A two-thirds majority in the Senate is required, making removal difficult unless there is broad bipartisan support.
- **Timing and Political Will:** The political climate and public opinion heavily influence the process.
- **Legal vs. Political Process:** Impeachment is a political process, not a criminal trial, which limits legal penalties to removal and disqualification from future office.

Impact of Impeachment on American Governance

Impeachment serves multiple roles in American democracy:

- **Checks and Balances:** Ensures the executive branch remains accountable to Congress and the Constitution.
- **Public Accountability:** Highlights misconduct and provides a platform for national debate.
- **Preservation of Rule of Law:** Reinforces the principle that no one, not even the president, is above the law.

Conclusion

In summary, the power of impeachment is a vital constitutional safeguard that can end a presidency when misconduct warrants removal. While impeaching a president is a complex, often politically charged process, it remains a crucial mechanism for maintaining the integrity of the U.S. government. The history of impeachment underscores its importance in holding leaders accountable and protecting democratic institutions. As the nation continues to navigate political challenges, the impeachment process will undoubtedly remain a key feature of American constitutional law, capable of ending a presidency when necessary to uphold the rule of law and democratic principles.

To End a Presidency: The Power of Impeachment

In the complex landscape of American governance, few constitutional mechanisms evoke as much debate and controversy as impeachment. This process, designed as a check on executive power, allows Congress to remove a sitting president from office if they are found guilty of "high crimes and misdemeanors." While often portrayed as a political tool, impeachment is fundamentally a constitutional procedure rooted in the principles of accountability and the separation of powers. This article delves into the intricacies of how impeachment serves as a means to end a presidency, exploring its constitutional foundation, procedural pathways, historical precedents, and the political realities that shape its application.

The Constitutional Foundation of Impeachment

The Constitutional Text

The power of impeachment is explicitly granted to Congress by the United States Constitution. Article II, Section 4 states:

"The President, Vice President, and all civil officers of the United States shall be removed from office on impeachment for, and conviction of, treason, bribery, or other high crimes and misdemeanors."

This clause establishes two critical concepts:

- Impeachment as a political process, not a criminal trial: While criminal law requires proof beyond a reasonable doubt, impeachment is a political judgment.
- High crimes and misdemeanors: The phrase is intentionally broad, allowing Congress discretion in defining misconduct warranting removal.

The Role of Congressional Authority

Congress possesses the exclusive authority to initiate and conduct impeachment proceedings. The House of Representatives holds the "sole power of impeachment," meaning it can bring charges against a federal official. The Senate, on the other hand, acts as the trial court for impeachment cases, with the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court presiding over presidential impeachment trials.

Implication: The entire process is rooted in legislative authority, emphasizing that impeachment is a political process, not a criminal prosecution. It is designed to protect the nation from executive abuses and ensure accountability.

The Process of Impeachment and Removal

Step 1: Initiation in the House of Representatives

The impeachment process begins with investigations and hearings. The House Judiciary Committee or other relevant committees typically review allegations, gather evidence, and hold hearings. If they find sufficient grounds, they can draft articles of impeachment?formal charges against the president.

Key points:

- Articles of impeachment specify the charges.
- A simple majority vote in the House is required to approve articles of impeachment.
- Once approved, the president is formally impeached, akin to an indictment.

Step 2: Trial in the Senate

Following impeachment, the process moves to the Senate, which conducts a trial to determine whether to remove the president from office. The Senate acts as a jury, and the Chief Justice presides over the trial.

Key points:

- The Senate requires a two-thirds supermajority to convict and remove the president.
- Conviction results in removal from office and possible disqualification from holding future office.
- Senators serve as jurors, and House managers act as prosecutors.

Step 3: The Outcome

- Conviction: The president is removed from office and may face disqualification from holding future federal office.
- Acquittal: The president remains in office if the Senate fails to reach the two-thirds threshold.

Implication: The process is inherently political, with the outcome hinging on Congressional majorities and political dynamics.

Historical Precedents of Presidential Impeachment

Andrew Johnson (1868)

- Context: Johnson faced impeachment primarily over disagreements regarding Reconstruction policies.
- Charges: Violations of the Tenure of Office Act, among others.
- Outcome: Acquitted by one vote in the Senate; remained in office.

Bill Clinton (1998)

- Context: Clinton was impeached on charges of perjury and obstruction of justice related to his

extramarital affair.

- Outcome: Acquitted by the Senate; continued presidency.

Donald Trump (2019, 2021)

- First impeachment: Abuse of power and obstruction of Congress related to Ukraine. Acquitted.
- Second impeachment: Incitement of insurrection after Jan. 6 Capitol riot. Acquitted again.

Observation: In all cases, impeachment did not lead to removal, illustrating the high bar for conviction and the political nature of the process.

How Impeachment Can End a Presidency

The Political Dynamics

While impeachment is a constitutional process, its success ultimately depends on political support within Congress. Factors influencing the process include:

- Partisan alignments
- Public opinion
- Evidence of misconduct
- Political calculations

A president can be impeached but remain in office if not convicted, as in previous cases. Conversely, a president can be removed if the Senate votes to convict, effectively ending the presidency.

The Threshold for Removal

The two-thirds supermajority requirement in the Senate makes removal a challenging process, often requiring bipartisan consensus on serious misconduct. This high threshold ensures stability but can also hinder swift accountability.

Implication: Impeachment is both a constitutional safeguard and a political gamble, with the potential to end a presidency but only under specific circumstances.

The Political and Legal Implications of Ending a Presidency via Impeachment

Legal vs. Political Consequences

- Legal: Conviction results in removal from office; disqualification from future federal office is also possible.
- Political: Impeachment can tarnish a president's legacy and influence future political careers, even if not convicted.

The Role of Public Opinion and Media

Public perception plays a significant role in impeachment proceedings. Strong media coverage can influence congressional votes, either rallying support or opposition.

The Aftermath of Impeachment

- Impeachment can set a precedent for accountability.
- It can also deepen political polarization.
- The process may lead to further investigations, legal proceedings, or reforms.

Limitations and Criticisms of Impeachment as a Tool to End a Presidency

Partisan Bias

Impeachment has often been criticized as a tool used by political parties to target opponents rather than a genuine pursuit of justice.

Political Risks

- Impeachment may backfire politically, leading to partisan backlash.
- The process can be divisive, impacting governance.

Constitutional Ambiguities

The broad language of "high crimes and misdemeanors" leaves room for interpretation, which can be exploited or contested.

Conclusion: Impeachment as a Constitutional Check

Impeachment remains a vital, albeit challenging, mechanism to end a presidency when a leader commits serious misconduct. Its success hinges on constitutional provisions, legislative proceedings, and political will. While it is not a criminal trial, the process underscores the foundational principle of accountability in American democracy. Understanding how impeachment

can effectively end a presidency involves appreciating the constitutional architecture, historical precedents, and the political realities that shape its application. Ultimately, impeachment exemplifies the delicate balance of power designed to prevent executive overreach and uphold the rule of law? a process that, when used appropriately, can serve as a definitive act to remove a president from office.

Question What is the process of impeaching a president and how does it end their presidency? Impeachment is a formal process where Congress charges a president with misconduct. If the House of Representatives votes to impeach and the Senate convicts with a two-thirds majority, the president is removed from office, effectively ending their presidency. Can impeachment be used for any reason to end a presidency? Impeachment is typically reserved for 'high crimes and misdemeanors.' It is a political process, and while it can be used for various reasons, it requires substantial evidence and political will to succeed in removing a sitting president. How does the impeachment process differ from criminal prosecution of a president? Impeachment is a political process conducted by Congress to determine if a president should be removed from office, whereas criminal prosecution involves legal proceedings in courts to punish criminal behavior. Impeachment does not necessarily lead to criminal charges or penalties. Has impeachment ever successfully ended a presidency in U.S. history? Yes, President Bill Clinton was impeached by the House in 1998 but was acquitted by the Senate and remained in office. President Andrew Johnson was also impeached in 1868 but was not removed. Only President Richard Nixon resigned before he could be impeached and removed. What are the political implications of using impeachment to end a presidency? Impeachment can be highly divisive, impacting public trust and political stability. It can serve as a check on executive power but also risks deepening partisan conflicts and setting precedents for future political battles. Is impeachment a quick process, or does it take a long time to end a presidency? Impeachment can be a lengthy process, often taking months or even years, involving investigations, hearings, votes in the House, and a trial in the Senate. The duration depends on the complexity of the case and political considerations.

Related keywords: impeachment process, presidential removal, congressional authority, impeachment procedures, constitutional impeachment, impeachment trial, impeachment vote, presidential accountability, legislative power, executive branch oversight

Read the full article online: [To end a presidency the power of impeachment](#)